

Characterizing adaptations to mobile phone delivery of the Adolescent Transition Package (ATP) in Kenya Using FRAME - IS

Dorothy Mangale, PhD Candidate – Implementation Science, University of Washington, Department of Global Health

Funding: NIH/FIC AHISA Small Grant Award. CRDF Global, G-202012-67159, National Institutes of Health (NIH) 1R01HD089850-01 Co-authors: Alvin Onyango, Cyrus Mugo, Caren Mburu, Janet Itindi, Dalton Wamalwa, Arianna Means, Irene Njuguna, Bryan Weiner, Grace John-Stewart, Kristin Beima-Sofie

Contact: dmangale@uw.edu





Adaptations

What is an adaptation?
Planned or unplanned change

Why do we adapt?Improve fit, effectiveness



Gap in capturing adaptations





Framework for Reporting Adaptations and Modifications to Evidence-based Implementation Strategies (FRAME-IS)

| CORE MODULES | | | | | | | OPTIONAL MODULES | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Proposed modification | Reason for modification | What is modified | Nature of modification | Goal of modification | Rationale for modification | Level of the rationale for modification | Timing of modification | Planned or unplanned modification | Participants in decision- making process | Spread of the modification |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

ATTACH Study



Why transition?

- Adolescence and early adulthood: complex period with many challenges
- Barriers increase the risk of being lost to follow up
- Systematic transition of HIV care for youth living with HIV involves providing <u>knowledge</u> and <u>skills</u> to support independence

Figure 4: Conceptual model (Adapted from Kieckhefer et al's Shared model of care)



Digital health solutions for continuity of care

Digital health interventions have demonstrated the potential to mitigate barriers to AYA being retained in care

Improve access, affordability and engagement

- Personalized services
- Targeting marginalized groups to reduce disparities

ATTACH Trial

Hybrid I Effectiveness Cluster Randomized Trial



Pivoting to Phone Delivery





To identify and characterize adaptations to phone delivery of the Adolescent Transition Package (ATP)

ATTACH Trial

Hybrid I Effectiveness Cluster Randomized Trial



Methods

Data Collection & Analysis

We prospectively identified and tracked adaptations

| CQI-PDSA cycles | 1 per two weeks |
|-----------------|---|
| Data collection | Audio-recordingsPDSA surveys |

Data Collection & Analysis

Framework for Reporting Adaptations and Modifications to Evidence-based Implementation Strategies (FRAME-IS)

| CORE MODULES | | | | | | OPTIONAL MODULES | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 1a-Proposed modification | 1b-Reason for modification | 2-What is modified | 3-Nature of modification | 3b-Link to fidelity/core elements? | 4a-Goal of modification | 4b-Level of rationale for modification | 5a-Timing of modification | 5b-Planned/ unplanned modification | 6-Who decides to modify | 7-Spread of the modification |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |



CQI characteristics

| N = 60 CQI meetings | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| HCW per CQI | Median (range) 5 [5 - 10] |
| Duration (minutes) | Median (range) 21 [13 – 75.0] |

Results



Summary of adaptations proposed

| Site | Modification | Reason | What is modified | Context |
|-----------|---|------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| FITC | Use community health volunteers for home visits to reach unreachable | Unreachable contacts | Context | Personnel |
| FITC | Obtain alternate phone numbers and document in file (primary and secondary contact) | Unreachable contacts | Content | NA |
| FITC | Assign adolesent to HCW so HCW can follow-up on intervention history and ensure exposure once a | Low reach | Context | Personnel |
| FITC | Provide shorter TCAs for adoelscents with challenges understandin concepts and recaping topics during | Comprehension and retention | Evaluation | NA |
| FITC | Use telephone script and ask adolescent if they are in a conducive envortonment, reschedule to when | Confidentiality concern/Cond | Content | NA |
| GOTKOJOWI | Reach out to secondary contacts | Unreachable contacts | Content | NA |
| GOTKOJOWI | Check TCS and link unreachable to CHVs | Unreachable contacts | Context | Personnel |
| GOTKOJOWI | Continue to send CHVs to reach them | Unreachable contacts | Context | Personnel |
| GOTKOJOWI | Redistribution of adolescents to staff to even out burden- ewual chance to take them through | Burdensome workload | Context | Personnel |
| GOTKOJOWI | Each HCW report on assigned adolescents | Poor documentation/Missing | Evaluation | NA |
| GOTKOJOWI | Document progress behind the pages and continue with allocating adolescents to HCW | Poor documentation/Missing | Evaluation | NA |
| KITENGELA | Wait for clients to come to facility - delay calling | Unreachable contacts | Content | NA |
| KITENGELA | assinging HCW to specific adolescents | Low reach | Context | Personnel |
| KITENGELA | Make phone call in shifts and organize for purchasing of a new phone | Limited resources | Context | Format |
| KITENGELA | Allow carrying of clinic phone home | Scheduling challenges | Context | Setting |
| KITENGELA | Going one chapter at a time and repeating if no comprehension; use simplest language possible; | Comprehension and retention | Context | |
| KITENGELA | Give first priority to make calls in adance or hand over to youth champion who will delegate to others | Workflow/Personnel availabi | Context | |
| KITENGELA | Interactive sessions- chance to contribute and ask questions; make sure the time frame between calls is | Comprehension and retention | Content | 60 |
| LANGALANG | conducting physical tracing of those without contact info to get contact and inform about the book | Unreachable contacts | Context ada | ptations. |

24 unique

What was modified?



Module 2: WHAT is modified?

Content

Modifications made to content of the implementation strategy itself, or that impact how aspects of the implementation strategy are delivered

Evaluation

Modifications made to the way that the implementation strategy is evaluated

Training Modifications to the ways that implementers are trained

Context

Modifications made to the way the overall implementation strategy is delivered. For Context modifications, specify which of the following was modified:

- Format (e.g. group vs. individual format for delivering the implementation strategy)
- □ Setting (e.g. delivering the implementation strategy in a new clinical or training setting than was originally planned)
- Personnel (e.g. having the implementation strategy be delivered by a systems engineer rather than a clinician facilitator)
- Population (e.g. delivering the implementation strategy to middle managers instead of frontline clinicians)
- Other context modification: write in here:

Specifying context modifications



Content

Evaluation

evaluated

□ Training

Context

modified:

Module 3: What is the NATURE of the content, evaluation, or training modification?

- Tailoring/tweaking/refining
- Changes in packaging or materials
- Adding elements
- Removing/skipping elements
- Shortening/condensing (pacing/timing)
- Lengthening/ extending (pacing/timing)
- Substituting
- Reordering of implementation modules or segments
- Spreading (breaking up implementation content over multiple sessions)
- Integrating parts of the implementation strategy into another strategy (e.g., selecting elements)
- Integrating another strategy into the implementation strategy in primary use (e.g. adding an audit/feedback component to an implementation facilitation strategy that did not originally include audit/feedback)
- Repeating elements or modules of the implementation strategy
- Loosening structure
- Departing from the implementation strategy ("drift") followed by a return to strategy within the implementation encounter
- Drift from the implementation strategy without returning (e.g., stopped providing consultation, stopped sending feedback reports)
- Other (write in here):

Adding scripts to streamline calls



Nature of proposed adaptations

Goal of proposed adaptations



Level of proposed adaptations



Survey Results

- Adaptation outcomes from PDSA surveys
 - 83% were and implemented as planned
 - 75% were implemented with relative ease
 - Final decision about proposed changes:
 - 48% adopted
 - 34% adapted
 - 18% abandoned

Lessons Learned

- Adaptation of mobile-phone delivery is feasible and acceptable
- CQI meetings and PDSA cycles were apt for facilitating the adaptation process and evaluating proposed changes
- Adaptations were primarily additive and most frequently addressed the inability to reach clients
- > 80% of adaptations were adapted or adopted suggesting that these changes addressed challenges brought up by HCW

Implications

- Identifying common, modifiable challenges at facility/HCW or client level
- Considerations for future scale-up
 - Range of possible challenges and adaptations
 - Integrating CQI into routine health system activities
 - Strategies for guiding and applying CQIs and PDSAs at scale
 - FRAME –IS for guiding adaptations



Limitations

Leading and coaching teams to identify a specific change concept is challenging

Coding using FRAME-IS directly from audio-recordings to structured CRFs not a 1:1 process

Acknowledgements

University of Washington: Grace John-Stewart (PI), Kristin Beima-Sofie, Irene Njuguna, Arianna Rubin-Means, Bryan Weiner

University of Nairobi/Kenyatta National Hospital: Dalton Wamalwa (Site PI), Cyrus Mugo, Caren Mburu, Alvin Onyango, Janet Itindi, Sylvia Nyamache, Florence Nyapara

NASCOP & County Directors of Health

ATTACH Study Participants

Funding: NIH/FIC AHISA Small Grant Award. CRDF Global, G-202012-67159 National Institutes of Health (NIH) 1R01HD089850-01

No conflict of interest

Thank you

Email dmangale@uw.edu

From: The FRAME-IS: a framework for documenting modifications to implementation strategies

Module 1: BRIEFLY DESCRIBE the EBP, implementation strategy, and modification(s)

The EBP being implemented is: _____

The implementation strategy being modified is: _____

The modification(s) being made is/are:

The reason(s) for the modification(s) is/are:

Module 2: WHAT is modified?

Content

Modifications made to content of the implementation strategy itself, or that impact how aspects of the implementation strategy are delivered

Evaluation

Modifications made to the way that the implementation strategy is evaluated

D Training

Modifications to the ways that implementers are trained

Context

Modifications made to the way the overall implementation strategy is delivered. For Context modifications, specify which of the following was modified:

- □ *Format* (e.g. group vs. individual format for delivering the implementation strategy)
- □ Setting (e.g. delivering the implementation strategy in a new clinical or training setting than was originally planned)
- Personnel (e.g. having the implementation strategy be delivered by a systems engineer rather than a clinician facilitator)
- Population (e.g. delivering the implementation strategy to middle managers instead of frontline clinicians)
- Other context modification: write in here:

Module 3: What is the NATURE of the conte or training modification? eal

CORE

- Tailoring/tweaking/refining
- Changes in packaging or materials
- Adding elements
- Removing/skipping elements
- Shortening/condensing (pacing/timing)
- Lengthening/ extending (pacing/timing)
- Substituting
- Reordering of implementation modules or segments
- Spreading (breaking up implementation content over multiple sessions)
- Integrating parts of the implementation strategy into another strategy (e.g., selecting elements)
- Integrating another strategy into the implementation strategy in primary use (e.g. adding an audit/feedback component to an implementation facilitation strategy that did not originally include audit/feedback)
- Repeating elements or modules of the implementation strategy
- Loosening structure
- Departing from the implementation strategy ("drift") followed by a return to strategy within the implementation encounter
- Drift from the implementation strategy without returning (e.g., stopped providing consultation, stopped sending feedback reports)
- Other (write in here):

Module 3, OPTIONAL Component: Relationship to fidelity/core elements?

- Fidelity Consistent/Core elements or functions preserved
- □ Fidelity Inconsistent/Core elements or functions changed
- Unknown

Module 4, Part 1: What is the GOAL?

ease reach of the EBP (i.e. the number of patients receiving the EBP)

- Increase the clinical effectiveness of the EBP (i.e. the clinical outcomes of the patients or others receiving the EBP)
- Increase adoption of the EBP (i.e. the number of clinicians or teachers using the EBP)
- Increase the acceptability, appropriateness, or feasibility of the implementation effort (i.e. improve the fit between the implementation effort and the needs of those delivering the EBP)
- Decrease costs of the implementation effort
- Improve fidelity to the EBP (i.e. improve the extent to which the EBP is delivered as intended)
- Improve sustainability of the EBP (i.e. increase the chances that the EBP remains in practice after the implementation effort ends)
- Increase health equity or decrease disparities in EBP delivery
- Other (write in here):

Module 4, Part 2: What is the LEVEL of the rationale for modification?

- Sociopolitical level (i.e. existing national mandates)
- Organizational level (i.e. available staffing or materials)
- Implementer level (i.e. those charged with leading the implementation effort)
- Clinician or Teacher level (i.e. those implementing the EBP)
- Patient or Other Recipient level (i.e. those who will ideally benefit from the EBP)
- Other (write in here):

Gap in capturing adaptations



From: <u>The FRAME-IS: a framework for documenting modifications to implementation strategies in healthcare</u>

Planned/Reactive (reactive

Other (write in here):

Unplanned/Reactive (modification)

adaptation)

| Module 5, Part 1: WHEN is the modification initiated? | Module 6: WHO participates in the decision to modify? | Module 7: How WIDESPREAD is the modification? (i.e. for whom/what is the modification |
|---|---|---|
| Pre-implementation/planning/pilot | Political leader(s) Pregram Leader Manager or Administrator | made?) |
| Implementation phase | Funder | Individual patient or other recipient for |
| □Scale up (i.e. when the EBP is being | Implementer or implementation strategy expert | whom the EBP is being implemented |
| spread to additional clinics/settings within your system) | Researcher Clinician(s) or teacher(s) who are being asked | Group of patients or other recipients for whom the EBP is being implemented |
| □Maintenance/Sustainment | to use the EBP being implemented | Patients or other recipients that share a |
| Other (write in here): | Community members | particular characteristic (e.g. all patients |
| | ultimate target of the EBP being implemented | Individual clinician or teacher charged with |
| | Other: write in here: | implementing the EBP |
| | | Clinic/unit |
| Module 5. Part 2: Is modification | | Organization |
| PLANNED? | | Network system/community |
| | Optional: Indicate who makes the ultimate | Specific implementer/facilitator |
| Planned/Proactive (proactive adaptation) | decision: | Implementation/facilitation team |
| | | |



Adolescent Transition Package

Disclosure



- Caregiver readiness
- Disclosure tracking
- Post disclosure outcomes

Transition



- Transition tracking
- Transition readiness assessment

| | | Framew | ork for Repo | orting | g Adaptations and | Modification | s-Expanded∗ | | |
|--|---|----------|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| | | _ | | F | PROCESS | | | | |
| WHEN did the modification of Pre-implementation/planning/p Implementation Scale up Maintenance/Sustainment | WHAT is modified? Content Modifications made to content itself, or that impact how aspects of the treatment are delivered | | | At what LEVEL OF D whom/what is the m made ?) - Individual - Target Interventic | ELIVERY (for nodification | What is the NATURE of the content modification? Tailoring/tweaking/refining Changes in packaging or materials Adding elements Removing/skipping elements Shortening/condensing (pacing/timing) Lengthening/ extending (pacing/timing) Substituting Reordering of intervention modules or segments Spreading (breaking up session content over multiple sessions) Integrating parts of the intervention into another framework (e.g., selecting elements) Integrating another treatment into EBP (not using the whole protoco and integrating other techniques into a general EBP approach) Repeating from the intervention ("drift") followed by a return to protocol within the encounter Departing from the intervention ("drift") followed by a return to protocol within the encounter Drift from protocol without returning | | | |
| Planned/Proactive (proactive a Planned/Reactive (reactive ada Unplanned/Reactive (modificat | Contextual Modifications made to the way the overall treatment is delivered Training and Evaluation Modifications made to the way that staff are trained in or how the intervention is evaluated Implementation and scale-up activities Modifications to the strategies used to implement or spread the intervention | | Cohort/individuals that share a particular characteristic Individual practitioner Clinic/unit level Organization Network System/Community Contextual modifications are made to which of the following? Format Setting Personnel Population | | s that share cteristic oner | | | | |
| WHO participated in the decision to modify? Political leaders Program Leader Funder Administrator Program manager Intervention developer/purveyor Researcher Treatment/Intervention team Individual Practitioners (those who deliver it) Community members Recipients Optional: Indicate who made the ultimate decision. | | | | | cations are following? | | | | |
| | | | | | _ | | | | |
| | SOCIOPC | DLITICAL | ORGANIZATION | N/SETTIN | NG | PROVIDER | | RECIPIENT | |
| Increase reach or engagement Increase retention Improve feasibility Improve fit with recipients To address cultural factors Improve effectiveness/outcomes Reduce cost Increase satisfaction | at was the goal?- Existie reach or- Existie retention- Existie retention- Existie feasibility- Politie fit with recipients- Fundress cultural factors- Histoe ness/outcomes- Funde cost- Alloc | | Available retechnology Competing Time construction Service struction Location/action Regulatory/ Billing construction Social contruction Mission Cultural or action | esource /, space g demar raints ucture ccessibi /compli straints text (cult support religiou | es (funds, staffing,) nds or mandates lity iance ture, climate, t) | Race Ethnicity Sexual/ge First/spok Previous Preferenc Clinical Ju Cultural n Perceptio | ender identity ken languages Training and Skills ces udgement norms, competency on of intervention | Race; Ethnicity Gender identity Sexual Orientation Access to resources Cognitive capacity Physical capacity Literacy and education I First/spoken languages | Legal status Cultural or religious norms Comorbidity/Multimorbidity Immigration Status Crisis or emergent circumstances Motivation and readiness |