

# Technical Considerations: the past, present and future of simulation modeling of colorectal cancer



EDWARD P. FITTS DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL AND SYSTEMS ENGINEERING

#### Siddhartha Nambiar, Rachel Townsley, Maria Mayorga North Carolina State University

Kristen Hassmiller Lich, Stephanie Wheeler University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill





## Background on Colorectal Cancer



- In 2012 only about 65% of individuals were up-to-date with screening
- 27% had never screened
- Improving screening rates is a priority



## Elements of CRC Simulation Models



#### Example Cancer Evolution Model





## CRC Simulation Model Paradigms

| Discrete Event Simulation<br>Models  | <ul><li>Support for Individual Patient Simulation (IPS).</li><li>Flexibility for patient-patient, patient-environment interaction.</li></ul>  |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Markov Models                        | <ul> <li>Enumerate health states a person will experience during the course of the disease.</li> <li>The changes in state are described using transition diagrams very similar to flow charts.</li> </ul>           |
| Stochastic Microsimulation<br>Models | <ul> <li>"Stochastic" - Models simulate sequences of events by drawing from distributions of probabilities or durations.</li> <li>"Microsimulation" - persons are moved through the model one at a time.</li> </ul> |



## CRC Simulation Model- Development History



CPCRN Gancer Prevention and Control Research Network

### Sample Markov Model Structure

- UCSF (University of California, San Francisco) Model - a cohort based Markov model from age 50 until death.
- Monte Carlo simulation that runs through the model 3500 times to determine approximate values for the percent of people in each state at a given time.
- Has a small probability for cancer to develop without developing from an adenoma.





## V-NC Model

- Primary Simulation Objects
  - Employs an **OOS** (Object Oriented System), driven by a modelindependent database.
  - Allows for convenient modeling of causal and treatment pathways.
  - The primary object in the CRC simulation is the person.
  - The replication will be terminated when the person dies or when statistics collection ends.



## MIcrosimulation SCreening ANalysis (MISCAN)

- MISCAN–Colon is a micro– simulation program, generating individual life histories.
- Uses the Monte Carlo method to simulate all events in the program.
- Possible events are birth and death of a person, adenoma incidence and transitions from one state of disease to another.





## North Carolina Colorectal Cancer (NC-CRC) model

#### Outline-

- Designed to support decision making regarding population screening for colorectal cancer within the state of North Carolina.
- Simulates cancer incidence and mortality by stage, age and calendar year.
- The model can be used to test the effects of various interventions on life-years and costs by increasing an individual's probability of being screened for CRC.

#### History-

• Based significantly on the MISCAN-COLON model (Loeve et al. 1999) and the work of Subramanian and colleagues. (2005)



## Expansion on other simulation models

- Applying statistical models from administrative claims data to predict the preferred screening modality of individuals and compliance with screening.
- Calibrating natural history parameters so that the incidence, age and stage of CRC diagnosis closely match registry data specific to the state of NC.
- Models insurance and allows status to change over time.
- Incorporating the effects of **population-level interventions** to increase compliance with CRC screening recommendations.



### Model Structure





Cancer Prevention and Control Research Network

#### Elements of Models





#### Parameters- Output



#### Object Based Model Structure





## Limitations and Challenges

- Model is highly data intensive.
- Meant to inform population guidelines and is based on general population trends.
- Model can end up requiring extensive computational resources.





## Future of CRC Simulation Models

• Optimization algorithms to generate candidate follow-up strategies for specific patient subgroups.

#### Questions/Discussions/Comments?





## Acknowledgements

This publication presentation was supported in part by:

- Cooperative Agreement Number U48 DP005017-01S83 from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the National Cancer Institute.
- CDC SIP 11-041 "Behavioral economics of colorectal cancer screening in underserved populations" (Co-PIs: Pignone and Wheeler)
- AHRQ 1-K-12 HS019468-01 Mentored Clinical Scientists Comparative Effectiveness Development Award (PI: Weinberger; Scholar: Wheeler)
- NIH K05 CA129166 Established Investigator Award in Cancer Prevention and Control: Improving Cancer-Related Patient Decision Making (PI: Pignone)
- NC Translational and Clinical Sciences Institute Pilot Grant "Using systems science methods to improve colorectal cancer screening in North Carolina" (PI: Lich)
- CMMI-1150732 CAREER: Incorporating Patient Heterogeneity and Choice into Predictive Models of Health and Economic Outcomes". National Science Foundation (PI: Mayorga)



## Additional Slides



## Assumptions(MISCAN)

- Demography Assumptions
  - The life table differs per birth cohort.
  - Death from colorectal cancer and death from other causes are considered independent from each other.
- Natural History Assumptions
  - Focus on the initiation, progression and response to treatment of colorectal cancer in the model.
- Screening Assumptions
  - Focus on all aspects of screening, including compliance and operational characteristics of the screening process.



## Statistical Model Description

$$logit(\pi_{ij}) = Y_{ij} = \beta_{0j} + \sum_{k} \beta_k X_{ik} + \sum_{l} \beta_l X_{jk} + \epsilon_{ij}$$
$$\pi_{ij} = \frac{e^{Y_{ij}}}{1 + e^{Y_{ij}}}$$

 $\pi_{ij}$  - Probability of binary outcome (CRC Screening vs No Screen or Colonoscopy vs FOBT) for person i at county j

 $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{0j}$  - County level intercept

**X**<sub>*ik*</sub> - Person level attributes (race, gender, etc)

 $X_{jk}$  - County level attributes (distance to endoscopy facility)



## Age Cohorts Included In Model



- Age;
- Sex;
- Race (white, black, Hispanic, other);
- Smoking status (current, former, never);
- Household income (<\$25,000, \$25,000-<\$50,000, ≥\$50,000);</li>
- Insurance status (none, private, Medicare, Medicaid, dual Medicare and Medicaid);
- Education (not complete college, completed college);
- Residential location (zip code).
- State health insurance program participation (SHEP, not a participant, participant)
- Marital status for privately insured individuals (married, unmarried, unknown)



## Process flow of lesion progression





#### Compliance process flow

#### Testing process flow





