

## Overview

Social, technical, and organizational subsystems are interrelated parts of one system. Dynamics and mutual influences exist among the three subsystems, giving rise to the system.

## **Example Application to Implementation Science**

McDonald, K. M., Su, G., Lisker, S., Patterson, E. S., & Sarkar, U. (2017). Implementation science for ambulatory care safety: a novel method to develop context-sensitive interventions to reduce quality gaps in monitoring high-risk patients. *Implementation Science*, *12*(1), 79.

Westbrook, J. I., Braithwaite, J., Georgiou, A., Ampt, A., Creswick, N., Coiera, E., & Iedema, R. (2007).
Multimethod evaluation of information and communication technologies in health in the context of wicked problems and sociotechnical theory. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 14(6), 746-755.

Construct	Definition
External subsystems	Outside forces and influences on an organization (e.g., stakeholders; regulations)
Social subsystems	Attributes of people (i.e., skills, attitudes, concerns, expectation, and values);
	relationships among people; reward systems; and authority structure
Technical subsystems	Technologies, techniques, tasks performance, methods and work setting; features
	include data cleansing and migration, features and functionalities of application,
	adaptability and flexibility or new system, system benefits, usability, stability
Organizational subsystems	Infrastructure, leadership and management, resources, teamwork and
	communication, organizational readiness for change, organizational context
Interdependence	The interaction among social subsystems, technical subsystems, and organizational
	subsystems
Propositions	
1. As subsystems are individually optimized and mutually aligned, organizational performance increases.	
2. The successful adoption of new technology depends on optimizing and aligning subsystems.	
Potential Relevance to Implementation Science	
1. Implementation may be facilitated by optimizing individual subsystems:	
a. Organizational subsystems: Assess and augment	
i. Rea	idiness to implement the evidence-based practice (EBP) (e.g., through planning
	ategies)
	astructure to implement the EBP
	dership and management for implementing the EBP (e.g., with champions)
	nmunication around the EBP (e.g., Plan-Do-Study-Act cycles; learning, evaluating,
	I sharing lessons learned)
b. Social subsystems: Assess and augment	
	keholder attributes and align stakeholder attributes with EBP (e.g., improving
	keholder skills through training and technical support for EBP use; increase
	ectations through requirements for EBP use)
	ate opportunities for social groups to interact around the EBP (e.g., learning
	aboratives)
	ubsystems: Assess and augment:
i. Sup	port for data cleansing and migration

ii. Application features and functionality usability



Cancer Prevention and Control Research Network

- iii. Integration with existing systems
- iv. Data security and confidentiality

2. Implementation may be facilitated by aligning subsystems using user-centered design

Criticisms and/or Bounds on the Theory

None identified

References

Type: Theory (grand, mid-range), perspective, model, etc.

Grand theory



This report is supported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as part of a financial assistance award with 100 percent funded by CDC/HHS (Cooperative Agreement Number U48 DP006400). The contents are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official views of, nor an endorsement, by CDC/HHS, or the U.S. Government.