

Overview

Network perspectives elucidate the social relations between actors (e.g., organizations; individuals within organizations) and how the nature and structure of those relations contribute to the actors' performance and behavior. Network perspectives explain how and why information and resources flow, and are shared, amongst a population of actors through their connections.

Example Application to Implementation Science

Burmaoglu, S., Saritas, O., Kıdak, L. B., & Berber, İ. C. (2017). Evolution of connected health: a network perspective. *Scientometrics*, *112*(3), 1419-1438.

Mikhailova, O. (2018). Adoption and implementation of new technologies in hospitals: a network perspective. *IMP Journal*.

Construct	Definition
Social network	A set of actors (e.g., individuals, organizations) connected by one or more social
	ties (e.g., advice ties, friendship ties)
Direct ties	Connections in which a single tie spans two actors
Indirect ties	Connections where ties exist between actors but only through other actors
Patterns of relations	Patterns of ties that yield a particular network structure (e.g., structural holes)
Strength	Amount of time, emotional intensity, intimacy (mutual confiding) and reciprocity
	of the tie
Centrality	The importance of an actor's position in a network structure (e.g., prominence of
	opinion leaders)
Cohesion	The connectedness or "knitted-ness" of a network
Network density	A measure of cohesion expressed as the number of ties in a network divided by
	the maximum number of ties that are possible
Constraint	A linkage or other restriction that becomes a limitation and/or an inhibition
Embeddedness	The extent that social ties are forged, renewed, and extended through the
	community rather than through actors outside the community
Flexibility	The extent that social ties are forged, renewed, and extended through the
	community rather than through actors outside the community

Propositions

- 1. The more organizations, holding ties constant, the lower the network density.
- 2. Direct and indirect ties (but particularly the latter) increase flexibility in access to what is flowing through network ties (e.g., information).
- 3. Central actors, on average, receive what is flowing through network ties (e.g., information) sooner than other actors.
- 4. Influence flows across direct and indirect ties among organizations within a network.
- 5. Fewer indirect ties will limit connections with other organization(s).

Relevance to Implementation Science

- 1. Direct and indirect ties, network density, cohesion, embeddedness, and flexibility *among organizations* affects **diffusion**, **dissemination**, **adoption**, **scale-up**, and **spread** of EBPs.
- 2. Direct and indirect ties, network density, cohesion, embeddedness, and flexibility among individuals within organizations affects **implementation** and **sustainment** of EBPs.



- 3. Network operation skill moderates the influence of direct and indirect ties, network density, cohesion, embeddedness, and flexibility on the aforementioned **implementation outcomes**—i.e., improved network operation skill augments ties' spread of EBPs.
- 4. Ties can be created (to bridge structural holes) and strengthened with **implementation strategies** such as bridging factors, building coalitions, building local consensus building, embedding opinion leaders, and developing advisory boards and workgroups.

Parameters

Criticisms and/or Bounds on the Theory

None identified

References

Borgatti, S. P., & Halgin, D. S. (2011). On network theory. *Organization Science*, *22*(5), 1168–1181. https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1100.0641

Granovetter, M. S. (1973). The strength of weak ties. American journal of sociology, 78(6), 1360-1380.

Kilduff, M., & Brass, D. J. (2010). Organizational Social Network Research: *Core Ideas and Key Debates. The Academy of Management Annals*, 4(1), 317–357. https://doi.org/10.1080/19416520.2010.494827

Podolny, J. M., & Page, K. L. (1998). Network Forms of Organization. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 24(1), 57–76. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.24.1.57



This report is supported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as part of a financial assistance award with 100 percent funded by CDC/HHS (Cooperative Agreement Number U48 DP006400). The contents are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official views of, nor an endorsement, by CDC/HHS, or the U.S. Government.