Overview

Institutional theory answers the question: Why do organizations tend to look so similar (i.e., exhibit isomorphism)? The degree of isomorphism in an organizational field is positively related to the degree of (1) coercive, (2) mimetic, and (3) normative pressures in the field.

Application to Implementation Science


Constructs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isomorphism</td>
<td>Similar organizational structures and processes (dependent variable)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coercive pressures</td>
<td>&quot;Formal and informal pressures exerted on organizations by other organizations upon which they are dependent and by cultural expectations in the society within which organizations function&quot; (DiMaggio &amp; Powell, 1983, p.150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mimetic pressures</td>
<td>Influences encouraging organizations to model the behavior of other organizations in their field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normative pressures</td>
<td>Influences derived from members of an occupation or profession (e.g., physicians) defining the conditions and methods of work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionalization</td>
<td>Claims on knowledge among professional groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Propositions

1. The degree of isomorphism in an organizational field is positively related to the degree of (1) coercive, (2) mimetic, and (3) normative pressures in that field.
2. Coercive pressures are greater to the extent that:
   - Organizations in a field transact with agencies of the state (or depend on public financing).
   - Organizations in a field are dependent upon a single (or several similar) source of support for vital resources.
3. Mimetic pressures are greater when an organizational field has high levels of uncertainty (e.g., evidence for what is effective is limited, technologies are poorly understood, goals are ambiguous, etc.).
4. Normative processes are greater in organizations with higher levels of professionalization.

Potential Relevance to Implementation Science

Implementation strategies should take advantage of existing or potential coercive, mimetic, and normative pressures on the focal organization.

1. Coercive pressures may be augmented by specifying how an intervention can assist an organization in meeting regulatory, reimbursing, or accrediting body requirements.
2. Coercive pressures may be augmented by negotiating with centralized sources of vital support (payers, suppliers).
3. Coercive pressures may be augmented by changing the policy governing reimbursement, formularies, accreditation, etc.
4. Coercive and mimetic pressures may be leveraged by creating a system to publicly recognize organizations that fully implement an intervention.
5. Mimetic pressures may be augmented by partnering with opinion leading organizations to be early adopters and serve as models for other organizations in the field.

6. Mimetic pressures may be augmented by aligning with existing ways of improving practice that have already diffused (e.g., the Improvement Model, Lean, Six Sigma, etc.).

7. Normative pressures may be augmented by partnering with professional associations to support implementation.

8. Normative pressures may be augmented by strategies that increase the alignment (real or perceived) between EBI usage and professional identity/role.
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